Simulation of Nanoscale Interconnects

Lado Filipovic, Institute for Microelectronics, TU Wien Vienna, Austria

ESSDERC/ ESSCIRC Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts"

September 3, 2018, Dresden, Germany



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Outline

- Introduction
- Copper Conductivity
 - Electron Scattering Mechanisms
 - Electron-Electron
 - Surface Roughness
 - Grain Boundary
- Electromigration Reliability
- Conclusions and Outlook









Outline

Introduction

- Copper Conductivity
 - Electron Scattering Mechanisms
 - Electron-Electron
 - Surface Roughness
 - Grain Boundary
- Electromigration Reliability
- Conclusions and Outlook



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Introduction – Goals and Strategy

- Copper-based metallization in use at least down to 7nm node
 - Nanoscale Cu behavior is influenced by grain size and surface roughness
- Simulations of nano-interconnects lack a connection between modeling the individual interfaces and the continuum simulation of the entire interconnect
 - True for both conductivity and electromigration reliability
- Our goal is to provide simulations to
 - Better understand electron and atom movement inside nanoscale Cu
 - Using Monte Carlo simulations
 - Provide simplified simulation options, while avoiding complex meshes
 - Using spatial parameters in FEM framework







Introduction – Project Context

WP1: Project

- This work fits into WP4, dealing with variation-aware interconnect simulations
- The goal is to provide a link between grain boundary/ surface roughness and continuum simulations
- Primarily concentrating on copper conductivity and electromigration reliability

Management Specifications and benchmarks Specifications Evaluation feedback WP3: Variation-WP4: Variationaware equipment aware device and and process interconnect simulation simulation WP5: Software integration and compact models Software components from WP3 / WP4 / WP5 Dissemination (WP6) and Exploitation (WP7)

WP2



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Outline

- Introduction
- Copper Conductivity
 - Electron Scattering Mechanisms
 - Electron-Electron
 - Surface Roughness
 - Grain Boundary
- Electromigration Reliability
- Conclusions and Outlook







Copper Conductivity

- Cu interconnect scaling results in reduced dimensions
 - Surface roughness and grain boundary play an increasing role



Electron Scattering in Metals

- Cu interconnect scaling results in reduced dimensions
 - Surface roughness and grain boundary play an increasing role



L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2017)







Electron Scattering in Metals

The effects of the granular microstructure on resistivity is modeled by



Electron Scattering in Metals

- Classical macroscopic model for electron transport
 - Scattering events are independent of each other
 - Calculate each event separately, then sum to give total probability
- Microscopic models for electron transport
 - Physical semiconductor models have matured over many decades
 - Modern physical models of transport in metals is far from mature
- Use lessons learned from semiconductor transport (heavily doped)
 - Semiconductor: Moving electrons occupy states above conduction band
 - Metals: Moving electrons in a half-occupied band near the Fermi energy





Equilibrium Electron Statistics I

- Quantum state of an electron is characterized by the quantum number k and energy e(k)
- Equilibrium electron statistics center around the Fermi-Dirac distribution:

$$f(k) = f(\epsilon(k)) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\epsilon(k)-\zeta}{k_BT}} + 1}$$

 ζ is the chemical potential, which is a large positive quantity for a many-particle system



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Equilibrium Electron Statistics II

Given the Pauli exclusion principle, the average number of electrons N can be determined as a sum of probabilities of given states to be occupied

$$N = 2\sum_{k} f(\epsilon(k)) = \frac{2V}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k f(\epsilon(k)) = \int_0^\infty d\epsilon 2g(\epsilon) f(\epsilon)$$

where k- states are discrete and 2 accounts for the Pauli exclusion principle

- A single state per volume of Fermi sphere $\frac{V}{(2\pi)^3}$
- Given 3D parabolic energy dispersion, the density of states is

$$g(\epsilon) = V \frac{\sqrt{2}m^{3/2}}{\pi^2 \hbar^3} \sqrt{\epsilon}$$







Equilibrium Electron Statistics III

• Normalizing with $\xi = \zeta/k_B T$ and $x = \epsilon/k_B T$ we obtain the ½ Fermi integral

$$n = \frac{\sqrt{2}(mk_BT)^{3/2}}{\pi^2\hbar^3} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{\sqrt{x}}{e^{x-\xi}+1}$$

And the Fermi energy is obtained

$$e_F = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (k_F)^2$$



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Equilibrium Electron Statistics IV

Relevant copper properties for electron statistics:

Parameter	Symbol	Value
Density	ρ	8.960 g/cm ³
Atomic mass	m _a	63.546 kg/mole
Permittivity	3	8.85419 x 10 ⁻¹² F/m
Effective mass	m*	1.0 m _e = 911 x 10 ⁻³¹ kg

Total electron density and the Fermi energy are then solved to give

$$n_e = \frac{N_A \times \rho}{m_a} = 8.49 \times 10^{28} \text{m}^{-3} \qquad e_F = \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m^*} = 7.0 \text{eV}$$







Equilibrium Electron Statistics V

- In semiconductors the bottom of the conduction band is above the chemical potential and serves as the origin of the energy
- In metals the number of *free* electrons taking part in conduction are those within a thin energy band around the Fermi energy

$$n_C = \int_{e_F - e_n}^{e_F + e_n} \frac{(2m^*e)^{1/2}}{8\hbar^3 \pi^2} \times \frac{de}{\exp\left(\frac{e - e_F}{k_B T}\right) + 1}$$

Generated electron energies are assigned within the range $[e_F - e_n : e_F + e_n]$ according to the FD distribution



Equilibrium Electron Statistics VI

We used two MC techniques to solve the previous equation and generate the conducting electrons and their energies.



Increased simulation time and effort

Slide 16





Scattering Mechanisms: Electron-Electron

- Electron-electron scattering depends on the electron density, applied field, energy, etc.
- It does not significantly increase at reduced dimensions
- In our simulator EE scattering is applied using a scattering time τ_{ee}, calculated using the classical definition of the conductivity baseline:

$$\sigma = \frac{q^2 n_e \tau_{ee}}{m^*} \qquad or \qquad \rho = \frac{m^*}{q^2 n_e \tau_{ee}}$$

• With a bulk resistivity of 1.7 x 10⁻⁸ Ω m the scattering time is τ_{ee} = 2.64 x 10⁻¹⁴ s

iμe



Scattering Mechanisms: Surface Roughness I

- Heuristic models associate to specular scattering, where the incident and reflected angels are equal
- Roughness results in randomization of the reflected angle of the scattered electron
- We set a parameter γ which determines the ratio between the specular and random scattering events

 $0 \leq \gamma(r_{\Phi}) \leq 1$, where $\Phi(r_{\Phi}) = 0$ defines the surface of the boundary









SUPERAID

Scattering Mechanisms: Surface Roughness II

- Comprehensive models account for stochastic properties of the roughness, based on the Fermi Golden Rule
- Probability S is given for a transition *per unit time* from an initial state $|k\rangle$ defined by quantum numbers k and energy E_k , to a state k' under the action of a perturbing Hamiltonian H':

$$S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \left| \langle \mathbf{k}' | H' | \mathbf{k} \rangle \right|^2 \delta(E_{\mathbf{k}'} - E_{\mathbf{k}})$$

• Here the δ function accounts for the energy conservation of the interaction with the surface roughness potential H'



```
SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process
Variations from Equipment Effects to
Circuit and Design Impacts"
September 3, 2018, Dresden
```

iμe



Scattering Mechanisms: Grain Boundaries

- An electron, interacting with a grain boundary has a probability of reflection R or transmission (1 - R)
- A combination of specular and diffusive reflection represents the physical reflection from a grain boundary
- Electron energy loss during reflection or transmission should also be included









Scattering Mechanisms: Grain Boundaries



Outline

- Introduction
- Copper Conductivity
 - Electron Scattering Mechanisms
 - Electron-Electron
 - Surface Roughness
 - Grain Boundary
- Electromigration Reliability
- Conclusions and Outlook









Electromigration in Copper: Failure Modes

Time to failure due to electromigration is a combination of two failure modes:



Early failure mode:

- E-field causes movement of ions
- Ion transport forms vacancy/hillock
- Vacancy and hillock induce stress
- Critical stress causes crack/failure

R.L. de Orio et al., Microelectron. Rel. (2011)



 SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process
 Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden



Late failure mode:

- Critical stress causes void nucleation
- Nucleated void grows to relieve stress
- Void growth increases line resistance
- Fails at critical resistance/open circuit



Electromigration in Copper: Early Failure Mode

- Early failure mode is a combination of
 - Vacancy transport (anode to cathode) forming voids/hillocks
 - Resulting tensile (cathode) and compressive (anode) stress



Electromigration in Copper: Scaling

- Shrinking dimensions result in increased current densities
- Experiments show increased grain boundaries reduce expected lifetimes

An electromigration model must include the effects of material interfaces and grain boundaries



L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2017)



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Electromigration in Copper: Model I



Electromigration in Copper: Model II



Electromigration in Copper: SOA

- Microstructure treated using predefined geometries for GB and MI
 - Must know location of all grain boundaries
 - Mesh must be very fine, especially at triple points











Electromigration in Copper: Modeling Approach I

- Developed approach:
 - Treat microstructure using a spatial material parameter to define GBs, MIs, and Cu grains, applied to:
 - Conductivity, Vacancy diffusivity, Effective valence Z*
 - Apply the vacancy generation/annihilation term at GB/MIs



Electromigration in Copper: Modeling Approach II



Electromigration in Copper: Grain Tessellation

Grain tessellation

Slide 32

- Using an average grain size, set total number of grains (seeds)
- Randomly place seeds in the copper line and grow until filled



Electromigration in Copper: Parameter Assignment I



Electromigration in Copper: Parameter Assignment II



Electromigration in Copper: Simulation Results I

Current density variation when 1MA/cm² is applied (bulk vs microstructure):



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden



L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2017)

Electromigration in Copper: Simulation Results I

Vacancy concentration at 0.1ms when 1MA/cm² at 300°C is applied (bulk vs microstructure):



Vacancies accumulate much faster due to the GBs and MIs



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts* September 3, 2018, Dresden



L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2017) **SUPERAID**

Electromigration in Copper: Simulation Results I

- Electromigration simulations using different mesh resolutions were performed
 - Geometry: 2000 x 20nm, grain size 25nm
 - Electromigration setup: 1MA/cm² current density applied at 300°C
 - Vacancy concentration at onset of electromigration:









L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2018) **SUPERAID**

Electromigration in Copper: Simulation Results II

Electromigration simulations using different mesh resolutions were performed

0.045 (0-1 1-0 (0-1 0.035 کُ Normalized vacancy conc. 0.025 0.015 0.015 0.015 0.005 0.005 Grid size 0.4nm Grid size 0.5nm Grid size 1.0nm Grid size 1.5nm Grid size 2.0nm Grid size 2.5nm Bulk parameters only 0 10-4 10⁻³ 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10¹ 10-6 10⁻⁵ 10^{3} 10² Time (s) Even coarse grids show reasonable results for the vacancy concentration Bulk parameters underestimate the time at which EM effects initiate L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2018) Slide 37 SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process **SUPERAID** iμe Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts

Electromigration in Copper: Simulation Results III

mber 3, 2018, Dresden

Electromigration simulations using different mesh resolutions were performed



Underestimated stress values with increasing grid size



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden



L. Filipovic et al., SISPAD (2018) SUPERAID

Outline

- Introduction
- Copper Conductivity
 - Electron Scattering Mechanisms
 - Electron-Electron
 - Surface Roughness
 - Grain Boundary
- Electromigration Reliability
- Conclusions and Outlook



SUPERAID7 Workshop "Process Variations from Equipment Effects to Circuit and Design Impacts" September 3, 2018, Dresden





Conclusions and Outlook

- As interconnects shrink grain boundaries and material interfaces play increasing roles in copper conductivities and reliability
- A Monte Carlo model was developed to include electron scattering mechanisms in metal lines
 - Model is based on semiconductor knowledge developed over decades
 - Will be implemented and released in an open simulator from TU Wien
- The effect of microstructure on interconnect lifetime is examined
 - Treat grain boundaries and material interfaces as parameters
 - Introduced spatial parameters within a finite element framework
 - Conductivity, atom diffusivity, activation energy ...
 - Model will enable variation to be introduced to complex EM simulations





